

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
	)	
Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charges	)	CC Docket No. 02-53
	)	
	)	
	)	

**ORDER**

**Adopted: June 19, 2007**

**Released: June 19, 2007**

By the Associate Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. On April 14, 2005, SBC Communications Inc., now AT&T Inc. (AT&T), Qwest Corporation (Qwest), and the Verizon telephone companies (Verizon) filed separate petitions asking the Commission to reconsider its decision in the *PIC Change Charge Order* regarding how presubscribed interexchange carrier (PIC) change charges are determined.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, AT&T and Qwest each filed a Petition for Reconsideration, and Verizon filed a Petition for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Petition for Clarification.<sup>2</sup>

2. On March 28, 2007, AT&T filed a letter seeking to withdraw its Petition for Reconsideration on grounds that its petition is now moot.<sup>3</sup> On April 5, 2007, Qwest submitted a letter seeking to withdraw its Petition for Reconsideration, explaining it “no longer wishes to pursue the issues raised in the petition.”<sup>4</sup> In a filing on April 13, 2007, Verizon sought to withdraw its Petition for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Petition for Clarification without prejudice.<sup>5</sup> We grant the requests of AT&T, Qwest, and Verizon to withdraw their petitions, and therefore terminate this proceeding in CC Docket No. 02-53.

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority in sections 1-4, 201, 203, 205, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 201, 203, 205, 403, and the authority delegated under sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, the request by AT&T Inc. to withdraw its Petition for Reconsideration IS GRANTED.

<sup>1</sup> *Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charges*, CC Docket No. 02-53, Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 3855 (2005) (*PIC Change Charge Order*).

<sup>2</sup> Petition for Reconsideration of SBC Communications Inc., Qwest Corporation Petition for Reconsideration, Verizon Petition for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Petition for Clarification, CC Docket No. 02-53 (each filed April 14, 2005).

<sup>3</sup> Letter from Davida M. Grant, Senior Counsel, AT&T, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 02-53 (filed March 28, 2007).

<sup>4</sup> Letter from Kathryn Marie Krause, Corporate Counsel, Qwest, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 02-53 (filed April 5, 2007).

<sup>5</sup> Letter from Edward Shakin, Vice President & Associate General Counsel, Verizon, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 02-53 (filed April 13, 2007).

4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority in sections 1-4, 201, 203, 205, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 201, 203, 205, 403, and the authority delegated under sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, the request by Qwest Corporation to withdraw its Petition for Reconsideration IS GRANTED.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority in sections 1-4, 201, 203, 205, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 201, 203, 205, 403, and the authority delegated under sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, the request by the Verizon telephone companies to withdraw their Petition for Reconsideration or, in the Alternative, Petition for Clarification without prejudice IS GRANTED.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority in sections 1-4, 201, 203, 205, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 201, 203, 205, 403, and the authority delegated under sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, this proceeding, which had been initiated by the petitions of AT&T Inc., Qwest Corporation, and the Verizon telephone companies as described above, therefore IS TERMINATED.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority delegated under sections 0.91, 0.291 and 1.102 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.102, this order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Donald K. Stockdale, Jr.  
Associate Chief,  
Wireline Competition Bureau